

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,102	LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(3) _____.

(2) Travis Wohlers.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1, 2 and 5-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, enablement

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/618,102

Applicant(s)

LIN ET AL.

Examiner

Teresa E. Strzelecka

Art Unit

1637

All Participants:(1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.(2) Travis Wohlers.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 November 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Mr. Wohlers proposed to amend the preamble to claim 1 by adding "having colorectal cancer or at risk for colorectal cancer" after "subject", and to cancel withdrawn claims 15-27, putting the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wohlers was contacted regarding an unresolved issue of the fact that the method as claimed would detect increased AC133 expression in subjects with vascular trauma, for example. Examiner contacted TC1600 TQAS Yvonne Eyler regarding this issue on November 1, 2006, and Ms. Eyler suggested amending the preamble to reflect the fact that subjects suspected of having colorectal cancer were investigated. The suggestion was presented to Mr. Wohlers, who agreed to contact the examiner after consulting with Applicants.